

### Student Leaders Perception on Interrelationship

#### Dennis M. Adrales

Assistant Professor Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology San Isidro, Nueva Ecija

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - The study investigated school issues in terms of interrelationship from the students' viewpoint as partners in the educational system. They are perceived to share accountabilities in the achievement of the purpose as well as partners and collaborators in the schools' development effects. Hence, the study is undertaken if there is a collaborative relationship between the students and teachers that influence and sustain the students' motivation and improves their learning process.

*Key Words*: school; interrelationship; teachers; students; teacher-student relationship; student-student relationship

#### Introduction

The role of the students in the school organization cannot be underrated as the schools' philosophy and vision recognized them as the central figure of the educational program. They are perceived to share accountabilities in the achievement of the purpose as well as partners and collaborators in the schools' development.

Promoting, respecting and protecting the students' rights include the development of their potentials suited to their abilities, thereby strengthening their ethical values and development of moral character and personal discipline. Observations on the rules of discipline will promote harmonious relationships not only with fellow students but also with the school personnel as well.

Their participation and initiatives in matters affecting their well-being and aspirations were measured by the established academic, social responsibilities and school principles.

#### Review of Related Literature

#### **Teacher-Student Relationships**

The teacher-student relationship has an important impact on students' attitudes and achievements, as well as the relationships that students create inside the school. If students feel comfortable with the teacher and the

environment in the school, they can construct more positive relations such as friendship, develop a better way to behave in the social context and improve their social skills (Larson, 2011).

In addition to these possibilities, Nugent (2015) suggested that by creating healthy relationships, teachers can motivate students during the learning process, which is one of the main objectives in a teacher's practice. This author also suggested that to do this, teachers have to be aware of the students' emotional and academic needs. By these means, the creation of positive relationships between teachers and students would be easier.

According to Giles (2012), it is during the first lesson of a course that both students and teachers establish the basis for this relationship. The greetings, the rules and what is expected from each other are discussed. Furthermore, according to a study performed by Kvale, S. (2010) it is where they can establish the procedures and rules related to the interaction and behavior inside the classroom which comprises the basis for a positive relationship. One aspect to take into account when studying teacher-student relationships is the expectations they have about each other, which is a characteristic that defines how satisfied they feel. In our experiences inside a classroom, as a teacher and/or a student, the more satisfied both parties are, the better relationship they can build.

#### **Teachers and Students' Expectations**

When developing a positive relationship inside the classroom, certain factors need to be taken into account that may affect, either positively or negatively, the teacher-student relationship. One of the important factors is teachers' and students' beliefs or expectations. For example, a teacher has expectations when working with new students. Owing to educational and social demands, teachers expect students to develop and improve not only their academic and social skills, but also the skills needed to grow as a person in a changing society. These expectations not only influence a teacher's behavior and practice, but also have an impact on their relationships with students.



Perhaps even more importantly, Bordia, Wales, Pittam, and Gallois, (2012) claim that, "the fulfillment of students' expectations may be directly linked to motivation and performance in language learning." For example, how both the teacher and the students behave in the classroom may, to a certain extent, be influenced by their expectations of each other. According to Narváez (2010), there are two ways students conceptualize teachers. One way is the teacher as a person. Students expect a teacher to be interested in them, not only as students, but as people as well. The other way is the professional concept of a teacher. According to the students in Narváez's (2010) study, this refers to the level of the teacher's commitment and dedication to his/her practice. Students like a teacher who is concerned about them, and who does not consider his/her profession only as a job. The combination of these views helps to understand how expectations are constructed and also understand that the relationship between teacher and students is not only the responsibility of the teacher, but also of the students.

#### **Aspects in Positive Teacher-Student Relationships**

According to Nugent, T. T. (2015) one of the main objectives in teaching, therefore, is to create an atmosphere that may enhance the learning process. By displaying a good attitude, being communicative, and creating a secure setting, the teacher may be able to help students to feel comfortable and motivated to learn a foreign language. Motivation plays a very important role in the process of building good relationships inside the classroom. For example, if students feel they are not performing as expected, they can lose their motivation and, as a result, the relationship with the teacher may be affected in a negative way, which is why an important task of the teacher is to sustain students' motivation.

### **Do Students' Relationships with Teachers Really Matter?**

Marchand, G., & Skinner, E. A. (2010) said that for the most part, when school-aged children rate the importance of their relationships with mothers, fathers, siblings, teachers, and friends, they typically report being very satisfied with their relationships with their teachers, and rank teachers as most important for providing instrumental aid and informational support at levels comparable to instrumental help from parents. In dimensions such contrast, intimacy, companionship, nurturance, and admiration, teachers are routinely ranked by children as the least likely source of support when compared to parents and peers. Moreover, although these relative rankings remain stable from

childhood into adolescence the overall importance of teachers for students appears to decline with age.

### Student-Teacher Relationships and Student characteristics

O'Connor, E., & McCartney, K. (2010) stated metacognitive and self-regulatory that students' processes also are likely to contribute to the development of positive relationships with teachers. Several theorists have posited goal-setting skills, emotion regulation, selfmonitoring, attributions and means-end thinking, and other basic information-processing skills as factors that contribute to the ability to implement behavior that contributes to the formation of positive relationships. From a motivational perspective, goal networks and hierarchies based on students' beliefs about cause-effect relations also are likely to link the quality of relationships with teachers to performance in other domains. For instance, students might try to demonstrate academic competence to gain social approval from teachers or, they might behave in socially competent ways to earn the positive regard of their teachers.

### Objectives of the Study

- To study the profiles of respondents understudy
- To study the respondents' interrelationship between teacher-student relationship
- To study the respondents' interrelationship between student-student relationship
- To study the relationship between the respondents' profile and their descriptions on the interrelationship

#### Methodology

A sample consisting of 80 officers of the Local Student Council of six (6) campuses of the Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology. A questionnaire is administered to the 80 respondents and primary data is extracted by this method.

Secondary data

Secondary data is collected through articles, websites, etc **Limitations of the study:** 

- -Sample size is limited
- Time is a major constraint

### Results and Discussion

Table: 1
Age group of respondents

·	group of respondents				
	16 and below	17-20	21 and above		
	6	54	20		

From the above table, it is evident that there were 54(67.5%) students with age 17-20; 20 (25.0%) were in the age range of 21 and above and 6; (7.5%) were in the



age group of 16 and below. The majority of the students were in their late teens.

Table: 2

Gender of the respondents

Male	Female
41	39

From the above table, it is found that of the 80 students, there were 39(48.8%) female and 41(51.3%) male students.

Table: 3

Course of the respondents

Bach	Bach	Bachel	Bache	Bache	Bac	Bache
elor	elor	or	lor of	lor of	helo	lor of
of	of	Science	Scien	Scien	r of	Scien
Scie	Elem	in	ce in	ce in	Scie	ce in
nce	entar	Busines	Engin	Infor	nce	Archit
in	y	S	eering	matio	in	ecture
Educ	Educ	Admini		n	Nur	
ation	ation	stration		Techn	sing	
				ology		
13	16	29	11	7	3	1

From the above table, it is found that there were 29(36.3%) students of Bachelor Science in Business Administration; 16(20.0%) were taking up Bachelor of Elementary Education; 13(16.3%) were enrolled in Bachelor of Science in Education; 11(13.8%) were Engineering students and; 1 (1.3%) was taking up Architecture. The majority were enrolled in Bachelor Science in Business Administration course.

Table: 4
Year of the respondents

Tear of the respondents							
First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth			
3	24	42	8	3			

From the above table, it is revealed that there were 42 (52.5%) students who were in the third year of their course; 24(3.0%) were in the second year; 8(10.0%) were the fourth year; 3 (3.8%) were in their fifth year and; 3 (3.8%) were first-year college students. Most of the students were in their third year of schooling.

Table: 5
Name of school campus of the respondents

Name of school campus of the respondents							
Sumaca	Genera	San	Fort	Atat	Gabaldo		
b	1 Tinio	Isidr	Magsaysa	e	n		
		О	у				
20	19	11	10	10	10		

From the above table, it is revealed that there were 20 (25.0%) students who were enrolled in Sumacab campus; 19(23.8%) were from the General Tinio campus;

11(13.8%) were students from the San Isidro campus; 10(12.5%) were students of Fort Magsaysay; 10(12.5%) in Atate and; 10(12.5%) were from Gabaldon campus.

Position of the respondents in the student organization

Chai	Vice	Secr	Trea	Bus	Pub	Repres	Au
rman	-	etar	sure	ines	lic	entativ	dito
/	Chai	у	r	S	Rel	e	r
Gov	rman			Ma	atio		
ernor	/			nag	n		
	Vice			er	Offi		
	Gov				cer		
	ernor						
8	8	8	8	8	8	24	8

From the above table, it is evident that there were 8(10.0%) students elected as governor/chairman of the school organization, 8(10%) were vice-governor/vice-chairman; 8(10%) were secretary; 8(10%) were treasurer; 8(10%) were business managers; 8(10%) were elected as public relation officers; 24 (30%) were representatives of their campus and; 8 (10%) were auditor. They were all school officers in a student organization on all campuses of Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology.

Table: 7
Weighted mean and verbal interpretation perception on students' interrelationship between teacher-student relationship

Teacher-student	Weighted	Verbal
relationship	Mean	Interpretation
1. Most of my teachers	3.74	Agree
like me.		
2. Most of my teachers	3.98	Agree
respect me.		
3. Most of my teachers	3.94	Agree
trust me.		
4. Most of my teachers	4.19	Agree
know my name.		
5. Most of my teachers	2.54	Disagree
don't understand me.		
6. Most of my teachers	2.34	Disagree
are not helpful.		
7. Most of my teachers	2.98	Somewhat Agree
pick on me.		
8. Most of my teachers	4.23	Strongly Agree
encourage me to do my		
best.		
9. Most of my teachers	3.93	Agree
believe in me.		
10. Most of my teachers	3.80	Agree
value what I say.		
Average weighted mean	3.56	Agree



The table shows that the students strongly agreed that most of their teacher encourages them to do their best (wm=4.23); they agreed that most of their teacher knows their names (wm=4.19); respect them (wm=3.98); trust them (wm=3.94); believe on them (wm=3.93); and value what they say (wm=3.80).

The findings indicate the effective influence of the teachers on the students' social and intellectual experience. They have a supportive relationship with their teachers who motivated and engaged them in the learning process. Their teachers showed high expectations from their students in terms of their level of achievement and their social behavior in the classroom. This stimulates students to develop a sense of trust and comfort with all members of the classroom community.

The teacher-student relationship demonstrated an ideal workplace where students are influenced by their teachers to achieve academically and socially. This supports the opinion of Hughes (2011), that teachers' expectations for higher academic performance encourage the students to perform more and achieve more.

Table: 8
Weighted mean and verbal interpretation perception on students' interrelationship between student-student relationship

Student-student	Weighted	Verbal
relationship	Mean	Interpretation
1. Students in my school	3.73	Agree
help one another even		
when they are not		
friends.		
2. Students at my school	3.78	Agree
support most extra-		
curricular activities.		
3. Students in my school	3.94	Agree
make me feel that I		
belong.		
4. Students in my school	3.96	Agree
treat one another with		
respect.		
5. Students in my school	3.85	Agree
get along with each other		
6. Students in my school	2.83	Somewhat Agree
are often disruptive,		
taking away from my		
learning time.		
7. Students in my school	2.73	Somewhat Agree
make me feel		
uncomfortable to ask		
them questions.		
8. Students in my school	2.03	Disagree
tend to bully or harass		
me.		

9. Students in my school	1.88	Disagree
tend to pressure me for		
fraternity involvement.		
10. Students in my	4.04	Agree
school make me feel safe		
at school.		
Average weighted mean	3.27	Somewhat Agree

The data revealed that the students agreed that students in their school make them feel safe at school (wm=4.04); students in their school treat one another with respect (wm=3.96); they get along with each other (wm=3.85), and support most extracurricular activity (wm=3.78).

They disagreed that students in their school tend to bully or harass them (wm=2.03), and disagreed that they tend to pressure them for fraternity involvement (wm=1.88). However, there are instances that students in their school disrupt their learning time (wm=2.83); and make them uncomfortable to ask the question(wm=2.73).

The findings on the student-student relationship indicated the friendly alliance of the respondents with their peers. They have a solid interconnection as evident of their mutual respect and their sense of belonging. There is no incident of bullying or harassment, nor pressure for fraternity involvement although there are times that they are disrupted from their learning time during their casual bantering periods.

The students' perception of their interrelationship with their teachers and peers are described during the interview:

"My teacher provides support for the achievement of my goals in terms of advice and interactions."

"My teacher established a climate of warmth, understanding, and caring with the classroom."

"My co-students make me feel safe and comfortable in the school environment as I feel the sense of belonging."

"My co-students are friendly and we treat one another with respect."

The students' perception of their interpersonal relationships with their teacher and peers which involved empathy, understanding and comfort is by the opinion of Teven (2015) that both the teacher and the peers' behavior will lead to the students' perception of solidarity and increased affect and cognitive learning.



Table: 9
Relationship between the respondents' profile and their descriptions on the interrelationship

		Teacher-Student	Student-Student <sup>male</sup>
Position	Correlation	.010	098 cam
	Coefficient		colle
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.932	.387 Univ
	N	80	80
Age	Correlation	.112	.047 betw
	Coefficient		moti
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.322	.680 pos
	N	80	80 to le
Gender	Correlation	310**	279* with
	Coefficient		IIISIC
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.005	.012 that
	N	80	80 scho
Course	Correlation	214	096 an e
	Coefficient		a gi
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.057	.399 supr
	N	80	80
Year	Correlation	185	158 perc
	Coefficient		relat
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.100	.161
	N	80	80 1
Campus	Correlation	156	001
-	Coefficient		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.166	.992
	N	80	80

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Gender is significantly related to students' perception of teacher-student and student-student relationships and teamwork as to students and teachers. Male students are more likely to have a better perception than females, since the male was found out to be more outgoing, aggressive and have the initiative to make the first move towards making friends.

This implies that male students are more demonstrative of their competence initiated by their communication with their instructors for functional and participatory reasons. This correlates to the theory of Macpherson (2012) that interest is drawn by some environmental factors (teacher behavior), the perspective of the condition that induces interest and from the standpoint or perceptions of the person.

### **I.Conclusion**

The above study revealed that respondents are in their late teens with ages ranging from 17-20, mostly male, in their third year, taking education from various campuses. Officers of the school organizations are all college students in the six campuses of Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology.

The development of the positive relationship between the teacher and student, sustains the students' motivation to improve their learning process. The positive relationship affects the quality of students' will earn where their needs to belong are addressed and with the improved qualities of teacher-student interaction inside the classroom.

There is an emotional link between the students at suggests a solid understanding of their roles in the hool. They have the same line of thinking that proposed effective student-student relationship that encouraged greater sense of security and confidence in ones' prort and dependency.

Gender is significantly related to students' exception of teacher-student and student-student lationships.

#### References

- Allen, J., Gregory, A., Mikami, A., Lun, J., Hamre, B., & Pianta, R. (2013). Observations of Effective Teacher-Student Interactions in Secondary School Classrooms: Predicting Student Achievement with the Classroom Assessment Scoring System in Secondary. School Psychology Review, 42(1), 76-98. Retrieved March 27, 2015, from Maxwell Library.
- 2. Allen, M., Witt, P.L., & Wheeless, L.P. (2012). The Role of Teacher Immediacy as a Motivational Factor in Student Learning: Using Meta-Analysis to Test a Causal Model. Communication Education, 55, 21-31.
- 3. Bordia, S., Wales, L., Pittam, J., & Gallois, C. (2012). Student Expectations of TESOL Programs: Student and Teacher Perspectives. Australian Review of Applied Linguistics, 29(1), 4.1–4.21.
- Giles, D. (2012). Exploring the Teacher-Student Relationship in Teacher Education: A Hermeneutic Phenomenological Inquiry (Doctoral dissertation). Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand. Retrieved from

<sup>\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).



http://aut.researchgateway.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10292/537/GilesD.pdf? sequence=4

- 5. Hughes, J. N., Zhang, D., & Hill, C. R. (2011). Peer Assessments of Non-Native and Individual Teacher-Student Support Predict Social Acceptance and Engagement Among Low-Achieving Children. Journal of School Psychology, 43, 447–463.
- 6. Kvale, S. (2010). Doing Interviews. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 7. Larson, R. (2011). Teacher-Student Relationships and Student Achievement. Omaha, NE: University of Nebraska.
- 8. Macpherson, A. (2012). Cooperative Learning Group Activities for College Courses: A Guide For Instructors. Kwantlen University College. Retrieved April 10, 2015, from Maxwell Library.
- 9. Marchand, G., & Skinner, E. A. (2010). Motivational Dynamics of Children's Academic Help-Seeking and Concealment. Journal of Educational Psychology, 99, 65–82.
- Narváez, O. M. (2010). University Students' Beliefs About Teaching and Teachers. In R. Reyes (Ed.), Creencias, Estrategias y Pronunciación en el Aprendizaje de Lenguas Extranjeras (pp. 183-206). Chetumal, MX: Universidad de Quintana Roo.
- Nugent, T. T. (2015). The Impact of Teacher-Student Interaction on Student Motivation and Achievement (Doctoral dissertation). University of Central Florida, Orlando. Retrieved from http://etd.fcla.edu/CF/CFE0002884/Nugent\_Tisome\_T\_200912\_EdD.pdf
- 12. O'Connor, E., & McCartney, K. (2010). Testing Associations between Young Children's Relationships with Mothers and Teachers. Journal of Educational Psychology, 98, 87–98.
- 13. Teven, J.J. (2015). Teacher Caring and Classroom Behavior: Relationships with Student Affect and Perceptions of Teacher Competence and Trustworthiness. Communication Quarterly, 55, 433-450.

#### Author(s) Profile

**Dennis M. Adrales** is currently working as Assistant Professor at Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, San Isidro Campus.